

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/030,147	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GRAIG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JYOTHSNA A VENKAT	<b>Art Unit</b> 1615	

**All Participants:**

(1) JYOTHSNA A VENKAT.

(2) Joyce Von Natzmer.

**Date of Interview:** 2/24/04; 3/2/04

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
103 rejection

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 JYOTHSNA VENKAT  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 GROUP 1500-1600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the attorney that claim 13 is allowable and claim 1 and claim 8 are not allowable. The attorney informed the examiner that an amendment and declaration was filed on 11/14/03 to which the examiner informed that the electronic version of the application do not have these papers. The examiner consulted Margaret Stevens(HSLIE) and informed the attorney to fax all the papers along with postcard receipt to Margaret Stevens Right Fax. After the review of the 11/14/03 amendment and declaration the examiner informed the attorney that the application is in condition for allowance subjecting to limiting (a) to sodium ascorbyl phosphate and magnesium ascorbyl phosphate. The examiner also informed the attorney that the declaration showed results for ascorbyl ester but failed to name the ester..The examiner suggested to the attorney that applicants can file continuation drawn to the esters and presenting data. The attorney authorized the examiner to amend the claims by examiners amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance..